

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,068	01/16/2002	Armin Walter Bruderlin	080398.P237C	3460
7590 05/03/2005			EXAMINER	
Maria McCormack Sobrino BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			WANG, JIN CHENG	
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2672	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/052,068	BRUDERLIN, ARMIN WALTER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jin-Cheng Wang	2672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/12	<u>2/2004</u> .				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 65-75</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 12 and 65-75 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmont/c)					
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Detect and Trademark Office					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's submission filed on 11/12/2004 has been entered. Claims 1-11, 13-64 have been canceled. Claims 65-75 have been newly added. Claims 12, and 65-75 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed Nov. 12, 2004 have been fully considered but are moot in view f the new ground(s) of rejection of the amended claim 12 and the newly submitted claims 65-75.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 12, 65-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Y. Watanabe and Y. Suenaga, "A trigonal prism-based method for hair image generation", IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications, 17(3), May 1997, p. 47-53 (hereinafter Watanabe) in view of D. B. Goldman, "Fake Fur Rendering", Proc. Of the 24 th Annual Conf. On Computer Graphics and Interactive Technique, p. 127-134, Aug. 1997 (hereinafter Goldman) and Ueda et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,333,985 (hereinafter Ueda).

1. Claim 12:

Watanabe teaches a method comprising:

Modifying at least one area of hair to provide a visual effect to the area of hair in response to an external influence (e.g., modifying at least one triangle patch by changing one of the parameters that determine the style of the wisp to provide a visual effect of a wisp of hairs in response to the head move in a certain direction; page 52), comprising for an area (for a triangle patch);

Identifying a hair of a plurality of hairs of the area as a clump-center hair (e.g., identifying a single hair for a wisp wherein a single hair duplicated hundreds of times in the neighborhood in an area patch or a triangle patch becomes a center hair and there is a center hair associated with the wisp; see page 52);

Identifying an area size (e.g., the number of triangle patches for a human head model determines or identifies the size of triangle patches; page 51; and the hair density M for each triangle patch determines the number of hairs in a wisp which controls the hair thickness or selecting the number of triangle patches in the scalp area wherein both the scalp area size is identified and the triangle patch size is identified; page 50-51);

Indicating clump area parameters including clump-density, clump-size, and clump-percent (e.g., the area parameters are angle, bend, <u>ratio</u> control, <u>thickness</u> controls, <u>length</u> controls, fold controls, <u>density</u> controls, randomness controls, RGB control, wave controls for the wisp; see page 51; the scalp area size is identified and the <u>triangle patch size</u> is identified; page 50-51);

Determining hairs of the plurality of hairs that are within the area as clump area hairs (e.g., the area hairs are within the triangle patch wherein a single hair is duplicated hundrends of times in the neighborhood of the single hair in the triangle patch; page 52), the area located according to the clump-center hair (the single hair within the triangle patch) and area size (the size of the triangle patch is determined by the number of triangle patches used for a human head model), and

Orienting the clump area hairs (hairs in the triangle patch) according to the clump area parameters including clump-density, clump-size, and clump-percent (e.g., hairstyles are controlled by the wisp parameters and the parameters' length, direction vector, thickness, twist angle, and number of trigonal prisms define the hair model; page 49; and a small number of parameters can be controlled to determine the hair motions; page 52); and

Dynamically varying the clump area parameters including clump-density, clump-size, and clump-percent (e.g., the wisp parameters are the clump parameters being dynamically varied in response to head motion to provide the animated effect in the computer animation of hair; page 52).

However, Watanabe failed to disclose "producing a plurality of hairs representative of a coat of fur."

Goldman discloses the claim limitation of "producing a plurality of hairs representative of a coat of fur (Pages 127-131). For example, Goldman teaches a fakefur algorithm for rendering hairs on mamal fur (Page 130) including application to the rendering of most of the computer-generated dalmatians featured in the film in which the realfur hair renderer is employed. The rendering is parameterized on hair geometry on a surface by hair length, hair

radius, density of hairs, and hair tangents at the root and tip and the parameters for the hairs in a particular region may vary over the surface (Page 128) and hair parameter can be adjusted to increase or decrease the density of the hair-over-hair shadows (Page 130).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated Goldman's realfur hair rendering into Watanabe's method because Goldman also teaches other limitation set forth in the claim 12 such as adjusting hair parameters over a local region and changing the opacity of the hair in relation to the hair parameters (Goldman Page 130).

One of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do this because incorporating hairs representative of a coat of fur in the fake/real fur rendering simulates the varying degrees of the appearance of real mammalian fur (Goldman Page 128).

However, Watanabe and Goldman failed to disclose the claim limitation of "making the fur appear increasingly wet and to provide a variety of dry-to-wet appearance with the claim limitation of "dynamically varying the clump area parameters including clump-density, clumpsize, and clump-percent to make the fur appear increasingly wet and to provide a variety of dryto-wet appearances."

Ueda discloses adjusting a variety of hair styles including the Dry hairstyle and Wet hairstyle and changing from one hairstyle to another hairstyle (See Figs. 38-39 and column 8, lines 10-26 of Ueda) and therefore Ueda teaches making the hairs appear increasingly wet by changing the dry hairstyle to wet hairstyle and to provide a variety of dry-to-wet appearance when other combinations of the hairstyle are adjusted (Figs. 38-39 and column 8, lines 10-26 of Ueda).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated simulating wet hairs and making the hairs increasing wet of Ueda into Watanabe and Goldman's method to simulate the hairs on the mammal fur of Watanabe and Goldman and making the hairs increasing wet by changing the hairstyle using the Ueda's method to provide a variety of dry-toweb appearance (because Anderson also teaches other limitation set forth in the claim 18 such as hair animation (Figs. 38-39 and column 8, lines 10-26 of Ueda).

One of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do this because this simulates the hairs to generate different hairstyles (Figs. 38-39 and column 8, lines 10-26 of Ueda). One of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do this because incorporating hairs representative of a coat of fur in the fake/real fur rendering simulates the varying degrees of the appearance of real mammalian fur (Goldman Page 128).

Claim 65:

The claim 65 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 12 except additional claim limitation of the clump area parameters are dynamically varied to provide animated clumping effects. However, Watanabe further discloses the claim limitaiton of the at least one area parameter being dynamically varied to provide animated effect (e.g., the wisp parameters are the clump parameters being dynamically varied in response to head motion to provide the animated effect in the computer animation of hair; page 52).

Claim 66:

The claim 66 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 12 except additional claim limitation of adjusting a tip of each clump hair to be closer to the tip of the clump-center hair, the amount of closeness corresponding to the clump-percent. However, Watanabe further discloses adjusting the hairs in the triangle patch according to the clump area parameters in which hairstyles are controlled by the wisp parameters and the parameters' length, direction vector, thickness, twist angle, and number of trigonal prisms define the hair model; page 49; and a small number of parameters can be controlled to determine the hair motions; page 52. Goldman discloses the claim limitation of "producing a plurality of hairs representative of a coat of fur (Pages 127-131). For example, Goldman teaches a fakefur algorithm for rendering hairs on mamal fur (Page 130) including application to the rendering of most of the computer-generated dalmatians featured in the film in which the realfur hair renderer is employed. The rendering is parameterized on hair geometry on a surface by hair length, hair radius, density of hairs, and hair tangents at the root and tip and the parameters for the hairs in a particular region may vary over the surface (Page 128) and hair parameter can be adjusted to increase or decrease the density of the hair-over-hair shadows (Page 130).

Claim 67:

The claim 67 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 12 except additional claim limitation of adjusting a tip of each clump hair to be closer to the tip of the clump-center hair, the amount of closeness corresponding to the clump-percent. However, Watanabe further discloses adjusting the hairs in the triangle patch according to the clump area parameters in which hairstyles are controlled by the wisp parameters and the parameters' length, direction vector, thickness, twist angle, and number of trigonal prisms define the hair

model; page 49; and a small number of parameters can be controlled to determine the hair motions; page 52. Goldman discloses the claim limitation of "producing a plurality of hairs representative of a coat of fur (Pages 127-131). For example, Goldman teaches a fakefur algorithm for rendering hairs on mamal fur (Page 130) including application to the rendering of most of the computer-generated dalmatians featured in the film in which the realfur hair renderer is employed. The rendering is parameterized on hair geometry on a surface by hair length, hair radius, density of hairs, and hair tangents at the root and tip and the parameters for the hairs in a particular region may vary over the surface (Page 128) and hair parameter can be adjusted to

Claim 68:

The claim 68 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 12. The claim 68 is subject to the same rationale of rejection set forth in the claim 12.

increase or decrease the density of the hair-over-hair shadows (Page 130).

Claim 69:

The claim 69 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 65. The claim 69 is subject to the same rationale of rejection set forth in the claim 65.

Claim 70:

The claim 70 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 66. The claim 70 is subject to the same rationale of rejection set forth in the claim 66.

Claim 71:

The claim 71 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 67. The claim 71 is subject to the same rationale of rejection set forth in the claim 67.

Claim 72:

The claim 72 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 12. The claim 72 is subject to the same rationale of rejection set forth in the claim 12.

Claim 73:

The claim 73 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 65. The claim 73 is subject to the same rationale of rejection set forth in the claim 65.

Claim 74:

The claim 74 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 66. The claim 74 is subject to the same rationale of rejection set forth in the claim 66.

Claim 75:

The claim 75 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of the claim 67. The claim 75 is subject to the same rationale of rejection set forth in the claim 67.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/052,068

Art Unit: 2672

Page 10

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jin-Cheng Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7665.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 6:30 (Mon-Thu).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on (571) 272-7664. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jcw

MICHAEL RAZAVI LIDERVISORY PATENT EXCRUNTER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER LAD